

Peanut Science

Yield and Economic Response of Peanut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.) Cultivars to Prohexadione Calcium in Large-Plot Trials in Georgia --Manuscript Draft--

Manuscript Number:	PS20-29R1
Full Title:	Yield and Economic Response of Peanut (<i>Arachis hypogaea</i> L.) Cultivars to Prohexadione Calcium in Large-Plot Trials in Georgia
Short Title:	Economic Response of Peanut Cultivars to Prohexadione Calcium
Article Type:	Original Article
Keywords:	<i>Arachis hypogaea</i> L, growth regulator, virginia market type peanut, runner market type peanut
Corresponding Author:	W. Scott Monfort, Ph.D. University of Georgia Tifton, Georgia UNITED STATES
Corresponding Author Secondary Information:	
Corresponding Author's Institution:	University of Georgia
Corresponding Author's Secondary Institution:	
First Author:	W. Scott Monfort, Ph.D.
First Author Secondary Information:	
Order of Authors:	W. Scott Monfort, Ph.D. W.S. Monfort R.S. Tubbs B.L. Cresswell E.L. Jordan N.B. Smith X. Luo
Order of Authors Secondary Information:	
Abstract:	<p>Prohexadione calcium, a plant growth regulator, has been used on virginia market type peanut cultivars for many years to manage excessive vine growth and improve digging efficiency. Prohexadione calcium has not been widely used on runner market type cultivars due to their slower growth habit and sporadic yield response at the labeled rate until recent research showed lower use rates of prohexadione calcium provided similar vine control and enhanced yield response. Large plot experiments were conducted in Colquitt county at the Darrell Williams Research Farm on the Sun Belt Ag Expo to quantify yield and market grade quality and economics of using prohexadione calcium at 105 g a.i./ha on six runner type cultivars. Prohexadione calcium was applied twice during the growing season. The first application was made when 50% or greater of lateral vines from adjacent rows were touching. A second application of each treatment was applied 14d after the first application. The runner type cultivars were Georgia-06G, Georgia-12Y, Georgia-13M, Georgia-14N, TUFRunner™ -297, and TUFRunner™ -511. Similar large-plot experiments were conducted on farms in Baker and Early counties evaluating yield and economic response of prohexadione calcium on Georgia-06G. A non-treated control was used in all experiments.</p> <p>Prohexadione calcium increased pod yield in all experiments ranging from 450 to 650 kg/ha compared to the non-treated control with response similar across cultivars.</p> <p>Prohexadione calcium reduced the dollar value per metric ton (DVMT) as a result of lowering total sound mature kernel (%TSMK) percentages up to 3 points. The higher yields obtained for the prohexadione calcium-treated peanut provided higher gross</p>

	<p>dollar value return/ha (GDR) in all experiments and higher gross dollar value return/ha above treatment cost (GDRAT) in the on-farm trials. Therefore, prohexadione calcium at 105 g/ha applied twice on runner market type peanut is warranted to improve yield and financial return when excessive vine growth is a concern.</p>
--	---

1 Running Title: Yield and Economic Response of Peanut Cultivars to Prohexadione Calcium

2 **Yield and Economic Response of Peanut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.) Cultivars to Prohexadione**

3 **Calcium in Large-Plot Trials in Georgia**

4 W.S. Monfort^{1*}, R.S. Tubbs², B.L. Cresswell³, E.L. Jordan⁴, N.B. Smith⁵, and X. Luo⁶

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

¹First and Second Authors: Associate Professor and Professor, Crop and Soil Sciences Dept., University of Georgia, Tifton, GA, 31793; ²Third Author: Early County Extension Coordinator, University of Georgia, Blakely, GA, 39823; ³Fourth Author: Baker County Extension Coordinator, University of Georgia, Newton, GA, 39870; ⁴Fifth Author: Extension Professor, Clemson University, Columbia, SC, 29229; ⁵Sixth Author: Research Professional IV, University of Georgia, Tifton Campus, Tifton, GA 31793.

*Corresponding author's email: smonfort@uga.edu

12

13

ABSTRACT

14 Prohexadione calcium, a plant growth regulator, has been used on virginia market type peanut
15 cultivars for many years to manage excessive vine growth and improve digging efficiency.
16 Prohexadione calcium has not been widely used on runner market type cultivars due to their
17 slower growth habit and sporadic yield response at the labeled rate until recent research showed
18 lower use rates of prohexadione calcium provided similar vine control and enhanced yield
19 response. Large plot experiments were conducted in Colquitt county at the Darrell Williams
20 Research Farm on the Sun Belt Ag Expo to quantify yield and market grade quality and
21 economics of using prohexadione calcium at 105 g a.i./ha on six runner type cultivars.
22 Prohexadione calcium was applied twice during the growing season. The first application was
23 made when 50% or greater of lateral vines from adjacent rows were touching. A second
24 application of each treatment was applied 14d after the first application. The runner type
25 cultivars were Georgia-06G, Georgia-12Y, Georgia-13M, Georgia-14N, TUFRunnerTM-297, and
26 TUFRunnerTM-511. Similar large-plot experiments were conducted on farms in Baker and Early
27 counties evaluating yield and economic response of prohexadione calcium on Georgia-06G. A
28 non-treated control was used in all experiments. Prohexadione calcium increased pod yield in all
29 experiments ranging from 450 to 650 kg/ha compared to the non-treated control with response
30 similar across cultivars. Prohexadione calcium reduced the dollar value per metric ton (DVMT)
31 as a result of lowering total sound mature kernel (% TSMK) percentages up to 3 points. The
32 higher yields obtained for the prohexadione calcium-treated peanut provided higher gross dollar
33 value return/ha (GDR) in all experiments and higher gross dollar value return/ha above treatment
34 cost (GDRAT) in the on-farm trials. Therefore, prohexadione calcium at 105 g/ha applied twice

35 on runner market type peanut is warranted to improve yield and financial return when excessive
36 vine growth is a concern.

37

38 Key Words: *Arachis hypogaea* L, growth regulator, virginia market type peanut, runner market
39 type peanut

40

41 Utilization of plant growth regulators in peanut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.) to manage
42 vegetative growth in the United States is not a new approach. Growth regulators have been
43 evaluated and utilized for more than 40 years (Beasley et al., 2004; Jordan et al., 2008, 2000;
44 Mitchem et al., 1996; Smith, 1989; Wu and Santelmann, 1977). There have been several growth
45 regulators registered over this time period with some like daminozole which was removed from
46 the marketplace due to safety concerns in food products (Smith, 1989). The most recent growth
47 regulator released for managing vegetative growth in peanut is prohexadione calcium, registered
48 by BASF Corporation in 2000 (Giles-Parker, 2000) and Fine Americas, Inc. in 2015 (Smith,
49 2015) marketed under the trade names Apogee 27.5 WDG and Kudos 27.5 WDG, respectively.

50 Since its development, there has been numerous research experiments on virginia market
51 type peanut that have shown the effectiveness of prohexadione calcium in managing vegetative
52 growth in peanut (Culpepper et al., 1997; Faircloth et al., 2005; Jordan et al., 2000). These
53 studies also reported that prohexadione calcium increased pod yield a majority of the time (Beam
54 et al., 2002). During the time period when prohexadione calcium was registered commercially
55 on peanut, it was not marketed for use on runner market type cultivars due to their more
56 moderate vine growth habit along with the introduction of GPS guidance on tractors (Roberson
57 and Jordan, 2014). However, in the last 10 years, breeding programs have reintroduced runner

58 market type cultivars with increased vegetative growth. This resurgence of fast growing runner
59 market type cultivars has increased the need for managing the excessive vine growth. Previous
60 small-plot research on runner market type peanut showed similar results in reducing vegetative
61 growth using prohexadione calcium at the labeled rate of rate of 140 g/ha and at the reduced
62 rates of 105 g/ha and 70 g/ha; however, there were not yield improvements associated with the
63 vegetative reduction (Studstill et al., 2020; Treadway, 2020). However, large plot on-farm trial
64 locations in Mississippi and in Georgia showed significant reductions in vine growth and yield
65 improvements with reduced rates of prohexadione calcium (Studstill et al, 2020; Treadway,
66 2020). Based on these reports, prohexadione calcium at reduced rates has potential for managing
67 vegetative growth and providing the needed yield enhancements to make it economical on runner
68 market type peanut (Studstill et al, 2020; Treadway, 2020). With this in mind, large-plot
69 research trials were conducted to further examine and quantify the yield and quality response and
70 economics of using prohexadione calcium applied twice at a rate of 105 g/ha on runner market
71 type cultivars in Georgia.

72 **Materials and Methods**

73 Experiments were conducted in Colquitt county at the Darrell Williams Research Farm
74 on the Sun Belt Ag Expo (Latitude 31° 8'16.83"N, Longitude 83°42'28.83"W) to examine the
75 yield, market grade, and economic response to prohexadione calcium at a rate of 105 g/ha
76 applied twice during the growing season across multiple peanut cultivars. Experiments were also
77 conducted on-farm in Baker and Early counties to evaluate the yield, grade, and economic
78 response of utilizing prohexadione calcium on Georgia-06G (Branch, 2007). Experiments at the
79 Darrell Williams Research Farm on the Sun Belt Ag Expo were conducted on a Leefield Loamy
80 Sand (Loamy, siliceous, subactive, thermic Arenic Plinthaquic Paleudults). Experiments in

81 Baker county and Early county were conducted on a Norfolk Loamy Sand (Fine-loamy,
82 kaolinitic, thermic Typic Kandiudults) and Tifton Loamy Sand (Fine-loamy, kaolinitic, thermic
83 Plinthic Kandiudults), respectively.

84 **Peanut Cultivar by Prohexadione Calcium Experiment**

85 Two experiments were conducted in irrigated fields to evaluate yield, quality, and
86 economic response of six runner type cultivars to prohexadione calcium applications in 2016 and
87 2017. A split-plot experimental design was used with three to four replications. Prohexadione
88 calcium treatments were the main plot effect and runner market type cultivars were the subplot
89 variable. Prohexadione calcium treatments consisted of 1.) non-treated check, and 2.)
90 prohexadione calcium at a rate of 105 g/ha (applied twice). Runner market type cultivars
91 included Georgia-06G, Georgia-12Y (Branch, 2013), Georgia-13M (Branch, 2014), Georgia-
92 14N (Branch and Brenneman, 2015), TUFRunner-297 (Tillman, 2017), and TUFRunner-511
93 (Tillman and Gorbet, 2017). Georgia-06G, Georgia-13M, TUFRunner-297, and TUFRunner-
94 511 are considered to have medium maturity while Georgia-12Y and Georgia-14N have a
95 medium to late maturity (Monfort et al., 2019). Plot dimensions were 1.8 m wide (2 rows) and
96 97.5 to 122 m long depending on each year and location's field layout. Peanut seed were planted
97 in a single row planting pattern with 91 cm row spacing. Seed was planted at 19.8 seed/m of row
98 to achieve a final in-row plant population of at least 13.1 plants/m of row. Peanut production
99 management decisions were made based on University of Georgia Cooperative Extension
100 Service recommendations (Monfort et al., 2019).

101 **On-Farm Prohexadione Calcium Experiment**

102 There were a total of five large on-farm irrigated trials conducted in Early and Baker
103 Counties in 2017 and 2018. Peanut planting date varied by location and ranged from late April to
104 mid-May. Cultivar Georgia-06G was planted in all experiments. Seed was planted at rates (19.8
105 to 23.1 seed per m row) to achieve a final in-row plant population of 13.1 to 16.4 plants per m of
106 row. Plot dimensions were 5.5 m wide and 305 m to 549 m long. Treatments consisted of 1)
107 prohexadione calcium at 105 g/ha and 2) non-treated control in all experiments. All experiments
108 were conducted in a randomized complete block design. Replications varied by location with a
109 minimum of four. Peanut production management decisions were made based on University of
110 Georgia Cooperative Extension Service recommendations (Monfort et al., 2019).

111 **Treatment Application**

112 Crop oil concentrate, (Agri-Dex, 83% paraffin based petroleum oil and 17 % surfactant,
113 Helena Chemical Co., 5100 Poplar Ave., Memphis, TN) was applied at 2.3 L/ha and 28% urea
114 ammonium nitrate or 21% ammonium sulfate was applied at 1.2 L/ha with prohexadione calcium
115 applications as per label instructions. Prohexadione calcium was applied in 233 L/ha water using
116 a tractor mounted sprayer equipped with 8002 regular flat fan nozzles (Teejet nozzles, Spraying
117 Systems Co., Wheaton, IL) in both of the Colquitt County experiments and 140 L/ha of water
118 using commercial large-scale crop sprayers for the large plot on-farm experiments in Early and
119 Baker Counties. All treatments were applied independently of any other pesticide or nutrient
120 applications. Commercial large-scale crop sprayers (type and size) varied by location.
121 Prohexadione calcium was applied twice during the growing season. The first application was
122 made when 50% or greater of lateral vines from adjacent rows were touching. The second
123 application was applied 14d after the first application.

124 **Peanut Production Strategies and Harvest**

125 Peanut plants were dug and inverted based on maturity profile method for each cultivar
126 (pod mesocarp color) (Williams and Drexler, 1981). Maturity of all cultivars ranged from 140
127 to 150 Day after planting except for Georgia-12Y and Georgia-14N which ranged from 150 to
128 155 Days after planting. Plants were dried for 5 to 7 d depending on weather to an estimated 12-
129 15% moisture. Once adequate drying occurred in the field, peanut pods were harvested using a
130 commercial peanut combine. Final pod weight was adjusted to 7% moisture. A subsample was
131 taken from each plot per site year and assessed for quality (market grade) by the Georgia Federal
132 State Inspection Service according to USDA-AMS grade standards (USDA-AMS, 1997). Grade
133 data for the Colquitt County locations included percentages of total sound mature kernels
134 (%TSMK) and other kernels (%OK). Grade data only included %TSMK for the Early and Baker
135 County locations. The base loan value for peanut in the United States was \$355/farmer stock ton
136 at a base %TSMK grade of 73.65 in 2019. Base grade levels are set each year by USDA. Dollar
137 value per metric ton was calculated based on loan value of \$4.808 times %TSMK for the Early
138 and Baker county locations and base loan value of \$4.808 times %TSMK + \$1.40 for each 1%
139 change in other kernels for the Colquitt county location. Gross dollar value per hectare (GDR)
140 was calculated based on the yield (kg/ha) times the dollar value per metric ton (DVMT). Dollar
141 return per hectare above treatment cost (GDRAT) was calculated based on the gross dollar value
142 per hectare – cost of prohexadione calcium application. The cost of prohexadione calcium at 105
143 g/ha applied twice was estimated at \$92.85/ha at the time the experiments were conducted.

144 **Data Analysis**

145 Analysis of variance was conducted using the PROC MIXED function within SAS
146 version 9.4 (SAS Institute, Cary, NC). Field (site year) was treated as a random effect in our

147 experiment statistical analysis. Appropriate means were separated with Fisher's protected least
148 significant difference (LSD) test at $P = 0.05$.

149 **Results**

150 **Cultivar response to Prohexadione calcium.**

151 There were no cultivar by treatment interactions for pod yield, %OK, GDR, or GDRAT
152 (Table 1). Therefore, the data for treatment were combined across cultivars and data for cultivar
153 were combined across treatments. Cultivar was significant ($p < 0.05$) for yield, %TSMK, %OK,
154 GDR, DVMT, and GDRAT (Table 1). Growth regulator treatment was significant ($p < 0.05$) for
155 yield, %TSMK, %OK, DVMT, and GDR (Table 1). Cultivar by treatment interactions for
156 %TSMK and DVMT were significant ($p < 0.05$).

157 Yield, quality, and economic value differences were observed among the cultivars
158 evaluated. Cultivars TUFRunner 297, TUFRunner 511, Georgia-06G, and Georgia-12Y yielded
159 greater than Georgia-14N (Table 2). Yield was improved ($p < 0.05$) when prohexadione calcium
160 was applied compared to the non-treated control (Table 2). Similar cultivar and treatment
161 responses were also observed for %OK percentages where Georgia-12Y and Georgia-14N had
162 the highest percentage of %OK compared to the other cultivars when assessed across treatments.
163 Prohexadione calcium increased the percentage of %OK compared to the non-treated control
164 (Table 2). Other kernels represent the percentage of immature kernels in a grade sample which
165 would reduce the %TSMK percentage.

166 Since there was a significant cultivar x growth regulator interaction for %TSMK, growth
167 regulator treatment and cultivar responses were analyzed separately. In evaluating the cultivar
168 differences within treatments, Georgia-12Y and Georgia -13M had significantly lower %TSMK

169 compared to all other cultivars for the untreated check while Georgia-12Y, Georgia-13M,
170 Georgia-14N had significantly lower %TSMK compared to Georgia-06G and TUFRunner 297
171 for the prohexadione calcium treatment (Table 3). Examining the treatment effects on cultivars,
172 applications of prohexadione calcium significantly reduced %TSMK three percentage points for
173 Georgia-12Y and two percentage points for Georgia-14N compared to the untreated check.
174 Similar to %TSMK, DVMT was affected by the interaction between cultivar and Prohexadione
175 calcium applications. Similar to %TSMK, Georgia-12Y and Georgia-13M had lower DVMT
176 compared to Georgia-06G and Georgia-14N within the untreated check and Georgia-06G and
177 TUFRunner 297 within the prohexadione calcium treatment (Table 3). Dollar value per metric
178 ton was also reduced for Georgia-12Y by \$15.00 and Georgia-14N by \$10.00 treated with
179 prohexadione calcium compared to the non-treated control (data not shown). This reduction in
180 yield (Georgia-14N), market grade, and DVMT are common characteristics expressed by
181 Georgia-12Y and Georgia-14N (person communication, Monfort, 2020).

182 To determine the economic impact of utilizing a prohexadione calcium on peanut, GDR
183 and GDRAT were analyzed for both the cultivar and prohexadione calcium treatments. Georgia-
184 06G, TUFRunner 297, and TUFRunner 511 had greater GDR and GDRAT costs compared to
185 Georgia-12Y, Georgia-13M, and Georgia-14N with Georgia 14N having the lowest overall
186 economic return (Table 2). The evaluation of economic impact for GDR and GDRAT showed
187 that prohexadione calcium increased the GDR by \$150/ha across all cultivars; however, GDRAT
188 was not improved (Table 2).

189 **On-Farm Prohexadione Calcium Experiment**

190 Growth regulator treatment was significant ($p < 0.05$) for yield, %TSMK, DVMT, GDR,
191 and GDRAT (Table 4). Grower application of prohexadione calcium increased yields across all

192 locations (Table 5). Similar to the trials with cultivars, %TSMK and DVMT were reduced when
193 prohexadione calcium was applied compared with the non-treated peanut (Table 5). Gross dollar
194 return for prohexadione calcium across the five on-farm locations was higher when prohexadione
195 calcium was applied compared to the non-treated peanut ($p < 0.05$) (Table 5). In contrast to the
196 cultivar by prohexadione calcium trial, GDRAT increased in the on-farm trials over that of the
197 non-treated control (Table 5).

198 **Discussion and Summary**

199 The goal of this research was to evaluate the yield response and the economic returns for
200 utilizing prohexadione calcium at the reduced rate (105 g/ha) on runner market type peanut
201 cultivars in large plot trials. Like with previous research (Beasley, 2004; Jordan et al., 2000),
202 vine growth was reduced utilizing the growth regulator compared to the non-treated plots (data
203 not shown). The results of the large-plot experiments further supported similar experiments in
204 which significant yield responses were observed as a result of applying the prohexadione
205 calcium (Studstill et al, 2020; Treadway, 2020). Prohexadione calcium treatment increased yield
206 by 440 kg/ha in the cultivar trials and 650 kg/ha in the on-farm trials compared to the non-treated
207 control (Tables 2 and 5). However, it is important to note that prohexadione calcium negatively
208 impacted both market grade (TSMK and OK) and DVMT for two of the six runner market type
209 cultivars evaluated, and TSMK in all of the large on-farm trials. This negative impact on market
210 grade did not support previous research where prohexadione calcium increased %TSMK and
211 dollar value/kg in virginia market type peanut (Mitchem et al., 1996; Culpepper et al., 1997). It is
212 also plausible that because prohexadione calcium reduces vegetative growth by inhibiting
213 gibberellin biosynthesis, vegetative growth is reduced and the development of new pods become
214 the primary sink for photoassimilates. More energy being translocated to reproductive tissues

215 over time results in may have increased potential for higher percentages of OK (immature pods)
216 in selected cultivars, thus leading to reduced DVMT. This difference observed in market grade
217 could be due the longer maturity range for the runner market type peanut compared to the
218 virginia market type peanut. With this in mind, cultivar growth habit (excessive vs. slow-
219 compact vegetative growth habit) and productivity (yield potential) need to be understood before
220 prohexadione calcium is used. Although grade and economic value were negatively impacted in
221 select cultivars, the increase in pod yield from applying prohexadione calcium provided higher
222 GDR across both trials and larger GDRAT in all of the large on-farm experiments. Overall, this
223 research project further confirmed that two applications of prohexadione calcium at 105 g/ha
224 does provide an economic return on investment for managing excessive vine growth in irrigated
225 runner-type peanuts in Goeriga. This research also showed cultivar productivity (yield and
226 quality) needs to be an important factor in deciding to utilize growth regulator as the costs of the
227 product might supersede the economic return for managing the vine growth. Based on this
228 research project and Studstill et al. (2020), prohexadione calcium will be recommended at a rate
229 no higher than 105 g/ha and to be limited for use only on highly productive cultivars produced in
230 fields with a history of producing excessive vine growth.

231 **Acknowledgments**

232 BASF Crop Protection, Fine Americas Inc., and The Georgia Peanut Commodity Commission,
233 provided partial funding for this research. Appreciation is expressed to technical staff at the
234 University of Georgia Tifton Campus, Georgia County Agricultural Agents, and Georgia peanut
235 producers for their assistance with this research. This project was conducted as part of the
236 objectives outlined in Federal Hatch project #GEO00273.

237

238
239
240
241
242
243
244
245
246
247
248
249
250
251
252
253
254
255
256
257
258
259

Literature Cited

Beam, J.B., D.L. Jordan, A.C. York, T.G. Isleib, J.E. Bailey, T.E. McKemie, J.F. Spears, and P.D. Johnson. 2002. Influence of prohexadione calcium on pod yield and pod loss of peanut. *Agron. J.* 94:331-336.

Beasley, J.P. Jr., W.J. Grichar, D.L. Jordan, R.G. Lemon, B.A. Besler, K.D. Brewer, J.B. Beam, P.D. Johnson, and J.E. Paulk III. 2004. Peanut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.) response to the hormonal plant growth regulator early harvest. *Peanut Sci.* 31:70-73.

Branch, W.D. 2007a. Registration of ‘Georgia-06G’ peanut. *J. Plant Reg.* 1:120. doi:10.3198/jpr2006.12.0812crc.

Branch, W.D. 2013. Registration of ‘Georgia-12Y’ peanut. *J. Plant Reg.* 7:151-153. Doi:10.3198/jpr2012.11.0048crc.

Branch, W.D. 2014. Registration of ‘Georgia-13M’ peanut. *J. Plant Reg.* 8:253–256. doi: 10.3198/jpr2013.11.0071crc.

Branch, W.D. and T.B. Brenneman. 2015. Registration of ‘Georgia-14N’ peanut. *J. Plant Reg.* 9:159-161. doi:10.3198/jpr2014.11.0082crc.

Culpepper, A.S., D.L. Jordan, R.B. Batts and A.C. York. 1997. Peanut response to prohexadione calcium as affected by cultivar and digging date. *Peanut Sci.* 24:85-89.

Faircloth, J.C., D.L. Coker, C. Swann, W. Mozingo, P.M. Phipps, and D.L. Jordan. 2005. Response of four virginia-type peanut cultivars to prohexadione calcium as affected by cultivar and planting pattern. *Peanut Sci.* 32:42-47.

Giles-Parker, C. 2000. Prohexadione calcium pesticide fact sheet. EPA. Retrieved from <https://nepis.epa.gov/Exe/ZyPDF.cgi/P100BICE.PDF?Dockey=P100BICE.PDF>

260 Jordan, D. L., R.C. Nuti, J.B. Beam, S.H. Lancaster, J.E. Lanier, B.R., Lassiter, and P.D.
261 Johnson. 2008. Peanut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.) cultivar response to prohexadione calcium.
262 Peanut Sci. 35:101-107.

263 Jordan, D.L., C.W. Swann, A.S. Culpepper, and A.C. York. 2000. Influence of adjuvants of
264 peanut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.) response to prohexadione calcium. Peanut Sci. 27:30-34.

265 Mitchem. W.E., A.C. York, and A.C. Batts. 1996. Peanut response to prohexadione calcium, a
266 new plant growth regulator. Peanut Sci. 23:1-8.

267 Monfort, W. S., R. S. Tubbs, G. Harris, R. Kemerait, M. A. Abney, E. Prostko, W. Porter, A.
268 Rabinowitz, A. Smith, and P. Knox. (2019). Georgia peanut production quick reference
269 guide. [https://peanuts.caes.uga.edu/content/dam/caes-subsite/peanuts/docs/2020/UGA-
270 Peanut-Quick-Reference-Guide-2020.pdf](https://peanuts.caes.uga.edu/content/dam/caes-subsite/peanuts/docs/2020/UGA-
270 Peanut-Quick-Reference-Guide-2020.pdf)

271 Roberson, G.T., and D.L. Jordan. 2014. RTK GPS and automatic steering for peanut digging.
272 Am. Soc. Agricultural and Biological Engineers. 30(3):405-409.

273 SAS Institute Inc. 2015. The Proc MIXED procedure. p. 6048-6234. In: SAS User's Guide,
274 Version 14.1 Edition. SAS Institute, Cary, NC.

275 Smith, F. 2015. Registration of Kudos 27.5 WDG (prohexadione calcium). United States
276 Environmental Protection Agency. Retrieved from
277 https://www3.epa.gov/pesticides/chem_search/ppls/062097-00041-20150112.pdf

278 Smith, W. 1989. Daminozide (Alar, B-Nine) EPA preliminary determination to cancel 6/89.
279 Pesticide Management Education Program. Retrieved from
280 [http://pmep.cce.cornell.edu/profiles/herb-growthreg/dalapon-ethephon/daminozide/herb-
281 prof-daminozide-canc.html](http://pmep.cce.cornell.edu/profiles/herb-growthreg/dalapon-ethephon/daminozide/herb-
281 prof-daminozide-canc.html).

282 Studstill, S.P., W.S. Monfort, R.S. Tubbs, D.L. Jordan, A.T. Hare, D.J. Anco, J.M. Sarver, J.C.
283 Ferguson, T.R. Faske, B.L. Creswell, and W.G. Tyson. 2020. Influence of prohexadione
284 calcium rate on growth and yield of peanut (*Arachis hypogaea*). Peanut Sci. (Accepted
285 08/11/20)

286 Tillman, B.L. 2017. Registration of ‘TUFRunner 297’ Peanut. J. of Plant Reg. 12:31–35.
287 doi:10.3198/jpr2017.02.0007crc.

288 Tillman, B.L. and D.W. Gorbet. 2017. Registration of ‘TUFRunner 511’ Peanut. J. of Plant Reg. 11:235–
289 239. doi:10.3198/jpr2016.11.0064crc.

290 Treadway, Z.R. 2020. Determining the effect of prohexadione calcium growth regulator on
291 growth and yield of peanut [*Arachis hypogaea* (L.)] in Mississippi. M. S. Thesis,
292 Department of Plant and Soil Sciences, Mississippi State University, Starkville, MS.

293 USDA-AMS. 1997. United States standards for grades of shelled runner type peanuts. [Online]
294 Available at [https://www.ams.usda.gov/sites/default/files/media](https://www.ams.usda.gov/sites/default/files/media/Shell%20Runner%20Type%20Peanuts%20Standard%20B1%20D.pdf)
295 [/Shelled_Runner_Type_Peanuts_Standard%5B1%5D.pdf](https://www.ams.usda.gov/sites/default/files/media/Shell%20Runner%20Type%20Peanuts%20Standard%20B1%20D.pdf) (verified 3 Oct. 2019). USDA
296 Agric. Marketing Serv., Washington, D.C.

297 William, E.J. and J.S. Dexler. 1981. A Non-destructive method for determining peanut pod
298 maturity. Peanut Sci. 8:134-141.

299 Wu, C.H., and P.W. Santelmann. 1977. Influence of six plant growth regulators on Spanish
300 peanuts. Agron. J. 69:521-522.

301
302
303
304
305

306

307

308

309

310

311

Table 1. Analysis of variance for yield, quality, and economic value response of utilizing prohexadione calcium applied twice at 105 g a.i./ha in two large-plot experiments on runner-type peanut cultivars in Colquitt County, Georgia in 2016 and 2017.

Treatment	Yield (kg/ha)		%TSMK ^a		%Other Kernels ^b		\$ Value/ Metric Ton ^c		Gross \$ Value/ha ^d		Gross \$/ha return above treatment cost ^e	
	F	P value	F	P value	F	P value	F	P value	F	P value	F	P value
Cultivar	32.8	<0.0001	38.5	<0.0001	25.0	<0.0001	40.7	<0.0001	33.1	<0.0001	33.1	<0.0001
Prohexadione Calcium	8.7	0.0250	11.3	0.0012	9.4	0.0219	10.5	0.0177	6.48	0.0438	1.6	0.2548
Cultivar x Prohexadione Calcium	0.2	0.9652	3.3	.0091	2.1	.0751	3.3	0.0106	0.78	0.5714	0.8	0.5714

^a%TSMK = total sound mature kernels (sound mature kernels + sound splits).

^b%Other Kernels = percentage of kernels that fall through the sound mature kernel screen.

^c\$value per metric ton was calculated based on loan value of \$4.808 times TSMK + \$1.40 for each 1% change in other kernels.

^dGross \$ value per hectare was calculated based on the yield (kg/ha) times the dollar value per metric ton.

^eDollar return per hectare above treatment cost was calculated based on the gross dollar value per hectare – cost of prohexadione calcium application.

Table 2. Yield, quality, and economic value response of utilizing prohexadione calcium applied twice at 105 g a.i./ha in two large-plot experiments on runner-type peanut cultivars at the Daryl Williams Research Farm in Colquitt County, Georgia in 2016 and 2017.

Cultivars	Yield (kg/ha)	%Other Kernels ^a	Gross \$ Value/ha ^b	Gross \$/ha return above treatment cost ^c
Georgia-06G	6,850 ab ^d	2.6 bc	\$2,820 a	\$2,780 a
Georgia-12Y	6,690 ab	5.0 a	\$2,550 b	\$2,510 b
Georgia-13M	6,500 b	3.3 b	\$2,590 b	\$2,550 b
Georgia-14N	5,670 c	4.6 a	\$2,300 c	\$2,270 c
TUFRunner 297	7,050 a	2.2 c	\$2,900 a	\$2,860 a
TUFRunner 511	6,920 a	2.6 bc	\$2,790 a	\$2,750 a
Treatments				
Non-treated Check	6390 b ^e	3.1 b	\$2,580 b	\$2,580 a
Prohexadione Calcium	6830 a	3.7 a	\$2,730 a	\$2,660 a

^a% Other Kernels = percentage of kernels that fall through the sound mature kernel screen.

^bGross dollar value per hectare was calculated based on the yield (kg/ha) times the dollar value per metric ton.

^cDollar return per hectare above treatment cost was calculated based on the gross dollar value per hectare – cost of prohexadione calcium application.

^dMeans within a column followed by the same letter are not significantly different according to Fisher's Protected LSD test at $p \leq 0.05$ for cultivars data pooled over levels of other treatment factors.

^eMeans within a column followed by the same letter are not significantly different according to Fisher's Protected LSD test at $p \leq 0.05$ for prohexadione calcium treatments over levels of other treatment factors.

Table 3. Cultivar Response (Percent TSMK and Value per metric ton) of utilizing prohexadione calcium applied twice at 105 g a.i./ha in two large-plot experiments on runner-type peanut cultivars at the Daryl Williams Research Farm in Colquitt County, Georgia in 2016 and 2017.

Cultivars	%TSMK ^a		\$ Value/Metric Ton ^b	
	Non-treated Check	Prohexadione Calcium	Non-treated Check	Prohexadione Calcium
Georgia-06G	77 a ^c	76 a	\$410 a	\$409 ab
Georgia-12Y	72 c	69 c	\$390 c	\$375 d
Georgia-13M	74 b	74 b	\$400 b	\$398 c
Georgia-14N	76 a	74 b	\$410 a	\$400 bc
TUFRunner 297	76 ab	77 a	\$408 ab	\$410 a
TUFRunner 511	75 ab	75 ab	\$403 ab	\$403 abc

^a%TSMK = % total sound mature kernels (sound mature kernels + sound splits).

^b\$value per metric ton was calculated based on loan value of \$4.808 times TSMK + \$1.40 for each 1% change in other kernels.

^cMeans within each column followed by the same letter are not significantly different according to Fisher's Protected LSD test at $p \leq 0.05$ for cultivar differences within prohexadione calcium.

Table 4. Analysis of variance for yield, quality, and economic value response of utilizing prohexadione calcium applied twice at 105 g a.i./ha in five on-farm large-plot experiments on runner-type peanut cultivars in Early and Baker counties in Georgia in 2017 and 2018.

Treatment	Yield (kg/ha)		%TSMK ^a		\$ Value/ Metric Ton ^c		Gross \$ Value/ha ^d		Gross \$/ha return above treatment cost ^e	
	F	P value	F	P value	F	P value	F	P value	F	P value
Prohexadione Calcium	50.8	<0.0001	48.2	<0.0001	48.2	<0.0001	44.7	<0.0001	21.1	<0.0001

^a%TSMK = % total of sound mature kernels (sound mature kernels + sound splits).

^b%Other Kernels = percentage of kernels that fall through the sound mature kernel screen.

^c\$ value per metric ton was calculated based on loan value of \$4.808 times TSMK + \$1.40 for each 1% change in other kernels.

^dGross dollar value per hectare was calculated based on the yield (kg/ha) times the dollar value per metric ton.

^eDollar return per hectare above treatment cost was calculated based on the gross dollar value per hectare – cost of prohexadione calcium application.

Table 5. Analysis of variance for yield, quality, and economic value response of utilizing prohexadione calcium applied twice at 105 g a.i./ha in five on-farm large-plot experiments on runner-type peanut cultivars in Baker and Early counties in Georgia in 2017 and 2018.

Treatments	Yield (kg/ha)	%TSMK ^a	\$ Value/ metric Ton ^b	Gross \$ Value/ha ^c	Gross \$/ha return above treatment costs ^d
Non-treated Check	7490 b ^e	78.0 a	\$414 a	\$3,100 b	\$3,100 b
Prohexadione Calcium	8130 a	77.9 b	\$412 b	\$3,350 a	\$3,270 a

^a%TSMK = total sound mature kernels (sound mature kernels + sound splits).

^bDollar value per metric ton was calculated based on loan value of \$4,808 times TSMK.

^cGross dollar value per hectare was calculated based on the yield (kg/ha) times the dollar value per metric ton.

^dDollar return per hectare above treatment cost was calculated based on the gross dollar value per hectare – cost of prohexadione calcium application.

^eMeans within a column followed by the same letter are not significantly different according to Fisher's Protected LSD test at $p \leq 0.05$ for prohexadione calcium treatments.